	Case 1:20-cv-00266-DAD-SAB Docume	nt 29 Filed 08/05/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	S.W.,	No. 1:20-cv-00266-DAD-SAB
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND GRANTING
14	TURLOCK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, Defendant.	APPROVAL OF THE COMPROMISE ON BEHALF OF INCOMPETENT PLAINTIFF S.W.
15		
16		(Doc. Nos. 24, 28)
17		
18	On February 21, 2020, plaintiff S.W., an incompetent and developmentally disabled adult,	
19	by and through her guardian ad litem Sandra Drumonde, filed the pending action against	
20	defendant Turlock Unified School District, alleging violations of Title II of the Americans with	
21	Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), and § 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29	
22	U.S.C. § 794. (Doc. No. 1.) This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
23	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
24	On May 6, 2022, plaintiff filed a petition seeking approval of the settlement reached	
25	between the parties. (Doc. No. 24.) On June 14, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued	
26	findings and recommendations, recommending that plaintiff's petition for approval of settlement	
27	be approved. (Doc. No. 28.) The findings and recommendations were served on the	
28	////	1
		1

1 parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. 2 The time in which to file objections has since passed and no objections have been filed. 3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this 4 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the 5 court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the 6 record and proper analysis. 7 Accordingly, 8 1. The findings and recommendations issued on June 14, 2022 (Doc. No. 28) are 9 adopted; 10 2. The petition to approve settlement of the incompetent's claims (Doc. No. 24) 11 is granted; and 12 3. The parties are directed to file with the court a stipulation for dismissal of the 13 action with prejudice, within twenty-one (21) days of the date of service of this 14 order. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 Dated: **August 5, 2022** 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 1:20-cv-00266-DAD-SAB Document 29 Filed 08/05/22 Page 2 of 2